arXiv:1704.02221v1 [quant-ph] 7 Apr 2017

Multiqubit Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state generated by synthetic magnetic field in circuit QED

Wei Feng,[1,2,3] Da-Wei Wang,[2] Han Cai,[2] and Shi-Yao Zhu[1,3]

[1] *Beijing Computational Science Research Center, Beijing 100193, China*
[2] *Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA*
[3] *Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China*

(Dated: April 10, 2017)

We propose a scheme to generate Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) state for N superconducting qubits in a circuit QED system. By sinusoidally modulating the qubit-qubit coupling, a synthetic magnetic field has been made which broken the time-reversal symmetry of the system. Directional rotation of qubit excitation can be realized in a three-qubit loop under the artificial magnetic field. Based on the special quality that the rotation of qubit excitation has different direction for single- and double-excitation loops, we can generate three-qubit GHZ state and extend this preparation method to arbitrary multiqubit GHZ state. Our analysis also shows that the scheme is robust to various operation errors and environmental noise.

I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement, which lets the measurement of one particle instantaneously determine the quantum state of a partner particle, is a salient nonclassical feature of quantum physics [1]. Besides the use in testing of fundamental quantum theories [2–4], entangled state plays a fundamental role in quantum technology applications such as quantum computation, quantum communication [5], quantum simulation [6] and quantum-enhanced precision measurements [7]. As the maximally entangled state of three or more particles, Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) states [8] represent a paradigmatic multipartite entangled states that are, in particular, useful for error correction in quantum computing and quantum secret sharing [9]. Many efforts have been devoted to generation of GHZ states in different qubit systems, including photons [10–12], atoms in cavity QED [13], trapped ions [14, 15], Bose-Einstein condensed atoms [16, 17], nuclear spins in nitrogen-vacancy (NV) defect center [18], and mechanical resonators in optomechanical system [19].

The circuit QED, in which superconducting circuits based on Josephson junctions serve as artificial atoms, has many advantages such as tunability, flexibility, and fabricating on solid-state chip with standard lithographical technologies [20–23]. It is a promising candidate among many architectures for realization of quantum computation and quantum information protocols. Moreover, in contrast to natural atoms, superconducting circuits have strong coupling with electromagnetic fields and can be designed with special characteristics. These merits made it to be a ideal artificial system in which many phenomenons of quantum optics can be studied in a regime which was difficult to achieve with natural atoms [24]. Recently, experiments have great progress on the subjects related to circuit QED, such as generation of arbitrary quantum states of a single superconducting resonator [25], realization of tunable qubit-qubit coupling [26], and arranging qubits in the form of a lattice [27].

Under the drive of huge potential application, many different protocols have been proposed to generate GHZ states in circuit QED setups [28–35]. Some of them are based on measurement, i.e., if a special measurement has a special result, the system is known to be in a GHZ state after the measurement [31, 33, 35]. These methods are of a probabilistic nature or need cumbersome feedback control. Some others are one-step schemes using a Mølmer-Sørensen approach [28, 29], i.e., a effective Hamiltonian of the type $\hat{J}_x^2$ will produce a GHZ state after a definite duration [14]. These methods are deterministic and efficient, but it is hard to realize homogeneous coupling among qubits, especially for large-number GHZ state generation. To date, 10-qubit and 14-qubit GHZ states have been successfully generated in experiments for photons [12] and trapped ions [15], respectively. However, in recent report, the number of experimentally realized $\boldsymbol{N}$-qubit GHZ state for superconducting circuit is just three and five [36–38]. Therefore, new schemes which combine recent experimental progress on circuit QED are highly needed to generate multiqubit GHZ state for superconducting circuit.

In the present paper, we introduce a new mechanism to generate GHZ states in a systematic way. The method is inspired by a recent experimental work in which the chiral ground-state currents are detected under a synthetic magnetic field [39]. Using a recent technology of sinusoidally modulating the qubit-qubit coupling[26], an effective resonance hopping between qubits with a complex hopping amplitude can be realized. Under this interaction, a synthetic magnetic field has been made which broken the time-reversal symmetry of the system. For three-qubit loop of superconducting circuits, there is a novel character: when the hopping amplitudes are pure imaginary numbers, the qubit excitation will have directional rotation and the directions are different for single- and two-excitation cases. Owing to this character, we can generate three-qubit GHZ state and extend this preparation method to arbitrary multiqubit GHZ state. Our analysis also shows that the scheme is robust to various operation errors and environmental noise.

This paper is organized as following. In Sec. II, we derive on effective Hamiltonian with qubit-qubit interaction amplitude is a complex number, and show the directional rotation of excitation in three-qubit loop. In Sec. III, we describe the protocol for generating GHZ states in our system. In Sec. IV, we discuss experimental feasibility considering the environmental decoherence and operation errors. Finally, we make a conclusion in Sec. V.

II. EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN AND EXCITATION CIRCULATION FOR THREE-QUBIT LOOP

We consider a system consisting of three qubits where tunable coupling is exist between each two of them. The Hamiltonian of the system is

$$H(t)=\hbar\sum_{i=1}^{3}\frac{\omega_i}{2}\sigma_i^z+\hbar\sum_{i\neq j}g_{ij}(t)\left(\sigma_i^+\sigma_j^-+\sigma_j^+\sigma_i^-\right), \quad (1)$$

where ω_i is the frequency of qubit Q_i, g_{ij} is the strength of the inter-qubit coupling between qubits Q_i and Q_j. The Pauli matrices $\sigma^z=|1\rangle\langle 1|-|0\rangle\langle 0|$, and $\sigma^+=|1\rangle\langle 0|$ ($\sigma^-=|0\rangle\langle 1|$) is the raising (lowering) operators. $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ denote the two energy level of the qubit. We modulate the coupling strength according to $g_{ij}(t)=g_0\cos(\Delta_{ij}t+\phi_{ij})$, and set $\Delta_{ij}=\omega_i-\omega_j$. Under the condition that $|g_0|\ll|\Delta_{ij}|$, we can do the rotating-wave approximation, and the effective Hamiltonian of the system in the interaction picture is

$$H_I=\hbar\sum_{i\neq j}\frac{g_0}{2}\left(e^{i\phi_{ij}}\sigma_i^+\sigma_j^-+e^{-i\phi_{ij}}\sigma_j^+\sigma_i^-\right). \quad (2)$$

Now we consider the cases that inter-qubit coupling amplitudes are pure imaginary numbers, i.e., set $\phi_{ij}=\pi/2$. The Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) commutes with $\sum_i\sigma_i^z$ and the number of excitation is conserved. We first investigate the single-excitation dynamics in the subspace expanded by $|100\rangle$, $|010\rangle$ and $|001\rangle$, in which the Hamiltonian has the following matrix representation

$$M_1=\hbar\frac{g_0}{2}\begin{pmatrix}0 & i & -i\\ -i & 0 & i\\ i & -i & 0\end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

The eigen frequencies are $\lambda_1=0$, $\lambda_2=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_0$ and $\lambda_3=-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_0$. The corresponding eigenstates are

$$\begin{aligned}|\psi_1\rangle&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(|100\rangle+|010\rangle+|001\rangle\right),\\ |\psi_2\rangle&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(|100\rangle+e^{i2\pi/3}|010\rangle+e^{i4\pi/3}|001\rangle\right),\\ |\psi_3\rangle&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(|100\rangle+e^{i4\pi/3}|010\rangle+e^{i2\pi/3}|001\rangle\right).\end{aligned} \quad (4)$$



FIG. 1. (Color online) The time evolution of the state occupation probabilities for the three-qubit system with $\phi_{ij}=\pi/2$. (a) One-excited case with initial state $|100\rangle$. The excitation from qubit Q_1 (black solid line) is swapped to qubit Q_2 (blue dash line) at time T and then to qubit Q_3 (red dash dot line) at time $2T$, and then repeat this circle. (b) Two-excited case with initial state $|011\rangle$, the population of $|011\rangle$ (black solid line) transfer to $|110\rangle$ (red dash dot line) at time T and then to $|101\rangle$ (blue dash line) at time $2T$, and then repeat this circle.

If we initially prepare the state $|\Psi(0)\rangle=|100\rangle=\left(|\psi_1\rangle+|\psi_2\rangle+|\psi_3\rangle\right)/\sqrt{3}$, the evolution of the wavefunction is

$$\begin{aligned}|\Psi(t)\rangle=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\sum_{j=1}^{3}e^{-i\lambda_j t}|\psi_j\rangle\\ =&\frac{1}{3}\left[\left(1+2\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_0t\right)\right)|100\rangle\right.\\ &+\left(1+2\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_0t-2\pi/3\right)\right)|010\rangle\\ &\left.+\left(1+2\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}g_0t+2\pi/3\right)\right)|001\rangle\right].\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

It is clear that at time $t=T\equiv 4\pi/(3\sqrt{3}g_0)$, $|\Psi(T)\rangle=|010\rangle$, and at time $t=2T$, $|\Psi(2T)\rangle=|001\rangle$, as shown in



FIG. 2. Excitation circulation for single- and two-excitation cases in three-qubit loop. The white dot stand for qubit in the excited state and the black dot stand for qubit in the ground state. While the single excitation rotate in the anticlockwise direction, the two excitations rotate in the reverse direction.



FIG. 3. Schematic diagram of circuit QED lattice. The coupling between any two qubits is tunable $g_{ij}(t) = g_0 \cos(\Delta_{ij} t + \phi_{ij})$, with Δ_{ij} equal to the frequency difference between Q_i and Q_j.The simplest operation for realizing our protocol is use $\omega_1 = \omega_3 = \omega_5 = \omega_7 \cdots$, $\omega_2 = \omega_4 = \omega_6 = \omega_8 \cdots$, $\Delta_{13} = \Delta_{35} = \Delta_{57} = \cdots = 0$, $\Delta_{12} = \Delta_{23} = \Delta_{34} = \Delta_{45} = \cdots = \omega_1 - \omega_2$, $\phi_{12} = \phi_{34} = \phi_{56} = \cdots = \pi/2$, and $\phi_{23} = \phi_{45} = \phi_{67} = \cdots = 0$.

Fig.1 (a).

Next we consider the subspace containing two excitations, $|011\rangle$, $|101\rangle$ and $|110\rangle$, the reversed states of the three states in the single excitation subspace. The corresponding matrix of the Hamiltonian is

$$M_2 = -M_1. \tag{6}$$

The overall minus sign indicates a excitation rotation in the opposite direction. The evolution of an initial state $|011\rangle$ can be obtained by changing g_0 to $-g_0$ and reversing the states in Eq. (5). The time evolution of the state occupation probabilities is plotted in Fig. 1 (b). For clearly see the difference of the time evolution of above two cases, we also plot a schematic figure for the single-excitation and two-excitation circulation, see Fig. 2 .

The surprising results that the single-excitation and two-excitation cases have different circulation directions can be understood as following. The state $|011\rangle \to |100\rangle$ can be seen as simply reverse the definition of $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. To express the Hamiltonian in this reversed new basis, we need to switch $\sigma_j^+ \to \sigma_j^-$ and $\sigma_j^- \to \sigma_j^+$, which results in $H \to -H$. The state will evolve backward in time equivalently. Actually, the condition to produce this different circulations for single- and two-excitation cases, i.e., $\phi_{ij} = \pi/2$, can be loosen to $\Phi = \phi_{12} + \phi_{23} + \phi_{31} = \pm\pi/2$. The total phase Φ can be seen as an effective magnetic flux. The restrictive phase of oscillating coupling introduce a effective magnetic field, which produce the circulation of qubit excitation.

III. PROTOCOL FOR GENERATING GHZ STATES

The directional circulation of excitation allow us to produce GHZ state by turning on the interaction for a definite duration and manipulating a sequence of electromagnetic pulses. Firstly, we prepare three-qubit GHZ state, and then extend it to produce large-number GHZ states. The devise employed here is a superconducting



FIG. 4. Sequence of operations. The blue box stand for a $\pi/2$ pulse and the yellow box stand for a π pulse. They act on the qubits that arrows point to at the determinate points in time t_i. The characteristic time for excitation swapping is $T = 4\pi/(3\sqrt{3}g_0)$. The shaded area in color label with $G_{123}(G_{345}, G_{567})$ mean turning on the couplings in the corresponding three-qubit loop.

circuit lattice [27, 39]. A schematic diagram is shown in Fig. 3. With mature nanofabrication techniques, the circuit QED can be assemble as design. The most important experimental technology for realizing our scheme is tunable coupling between qubits, which is reported in reference [26].

The sequence of operations is shown in Fig. 4. We start from ground state $|000\cdots00\rangle$. At time t_1, we send a $\pi/2$ pulse to Q_1 and a π pulse to Q_2. Qubit Q_1 is been prepared in a superposition state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ and Q_2 is been flipped to $|1\rangle$ state. The state of system transfer to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_1 \otimes |100\cdots00\rangle$. The following step is crucial.

time	states transfer under $\pi/2$ and π pulse
t_1	$\|0000000\cdots0\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\|0\rangle+\|1\rangle)_1 \otimes \|100000\cdots0\rangle$
t_2	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\|100\rangle+\|011\rangle)_{123} \otimes \|0\cdots00\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\|000\rangle+\|111\rangle)_{123} \otimes \|1\cdots00\rangle$
t_3	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\|00100\rangle+\|11011\rangle)_{12345} \otimes \|0\cdots00\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\|00000\rangle+\|11111\rangle)_{12345} \otimes \|1\cdots00\rangle$
t_4	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\|0000100\rangle+\|1111011\rangle)_{1234567} \otimes \|0\cdots00\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\|0000000\rangle+\|1111111\rangle)_{1234567} \otimes \|0\cdots00\rangle$

TABLE I. State evolution, basic steps of generation GHZ states. t_1: prepare the first qubits in a superposition of 0 and 1 state, flip the second qubits. $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$: introduce an interaction G_{123} among first three qubits, which leads to opposite rotations of the two states as shown in Eq.(7). t_2, send in π pulse to flip the first and fourth qubits. $t_2 \rightarrow t_3$: introduce the same interaction as in $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ with G_{345}. t_3, send in π pulse to flip the third and sixth qubits. Repeat steps to realize GHZ state for larger number of qubits, each time adding two qubits to the GHZ chain. For a GHZ state with $2n+1$ qubits, we need in total $2n$ π pulses and one $\pi/2$ pulse.

We switch on the couplings among the first three qubits (denoted by G_{123}), as demonstrated in above section, the three-qubit loop undergo opposite rotations for $|010\rangle$ and $|110\rangle$ states.

$$\begin{aligned} &|010\rangle \rightarrow |100\rangle \rightarrow |001\rangle \rightarrow |010\rangle, \\ &|110\rangle \rightarrow |011\rangle \rightarrow |101\rangle \rightarrow |110\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

After a definite time $T = 4\pi/(3\sqrt{3}g_0)$, turn off the interactions G_{123}, the system evolve to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|100\rangle+|011\rangle)_{123}\otimes|0\cdots00\rangle$ state. Then, we send a π pulse to flip the first qubit. The first three qubits are in a GHZ state. Next we continue our production of multi-qubit GHZ state: send a π pulse to the fourth qubit and switch on the same special couplings among the third, fourth and fifth qubits (denoted by G_{345}). After these three-qubit states undergo the process $|010\rangle \rightarrow |100\rangle$ and $|110\rangle \rightarrow |011\rangle$ in Eq. (7) for the two component of the superposition state, respectively, we obtain the state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00100\rangle+|11011\rangle)_{12345}$. Then we send a π pulse to the third qubit, the first five qubits are prepared in a 5-qubit GHZ state, as shown in Tab. I. We can repeat the process to generate the GHZ state involving more qubits.

Above scheme is only for odd-number GHZ states. For even-number GHZ states, we can use same method base on two-qubit Bell state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle)_{12}$. The entangled Bell states for superconducting qubit have been validly studied and realized in several experiments [40–43]. Starting in the ground state, a $\pi/2$ pulse is applied to qubit Q_1 to create the superposition $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle+|1\rangle)_1 \otimes |0\cdots00\rangle$. Next, a CNOT gate is applied to flip qubit Q_2 conditioned on qubit Q_1, resulting in the Bell state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle+|11\rangle)_{12}\otimes|0\cdots00\rangle$ [37, 41]. Following the above described method, we can generate large even-number GHZ states.



FIG. 5. (Color online) Fidelity for different $\boldsymbol{N}$-qubit GHZ states. Two kinds of bars corresponding to decay rate of qubit $\gamma = 0.2\,\mathrm{MHz}$ (blue bar) and $\gamma = 0.5\,\mathrm{MHz}$ (red bar). The characteristic time for excitation circulation $T = 100\,\mathrm{ns}$.

IV. PREPARATION ERRORS AND FIDELITY

In this section, we analyze the experimental feasibility of our scheme by investigating preparation errors. Errors mainly come from two aspects. The first one is the precision of the control of the interaction time. For each "rotation" operation, if the interaction time is not exactly T, the state realized is not a exact GHZ state. The second is the environmental noises. We refer to recent experimental achievement to discuss the feasibility of our scheme. The operations of switching on (off) and dynamically modulating of inter-qubit coupling in circuit QED can be in nanosecond timescales [26]. The typical qubit-qubit coupling strength is several megahertz [39], so the characteristic time T for excitation circulation is hundreds of nanosecond. The switching time is much less than T, therefore, the precision of the control of the interaction time made the error acceptable.

The qubit relaxation and dephasing during the operation certainly changes the final output state. In contrast to natural atoms, an advantage of superconducting qubit is that its coherence time can be large as tens of microseconds [44–46]. We calculate the fidelity for N-qubit GHZ state in our scheme. To be on the safe said, we assume for the qubit $T = 100\,\mathrm{ns}$, and choose two decay rates $\gamma = 0.2\,\mathrm{MHz}$ and $\gamma = 0.5\,\mathrm{MHz}$ for reference. The results are shown in Fig. 5, where for even-number GHZ state, we neglect the errors in preparing initial Bell state.

Definitely, there will be other complicated factors influencing the fidelity of GHZ state in real preparation process. Here, our analysis is optimistic but the parameter we chosen for qubit decay rate is not rigorous compare to existing experiments. In the Ref. [39], a similar circulation of photons can exist several cycles in the coherence time of superconducting qubit. Therefore, we have confidence that our scheme can work in appropriate circuit QED system.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we propose a totally new mechanism for generation of multiqubit GHZ states. The essential of this scheme is the directional excitation circulation in three-qubit coupling loop, and this phenomenon is derived from the complex hopping amplitude which break the time-reversal symmetry. We can realize the pure imaginary hopping constant by dynamically modulating the inter-qubit coupling which has been realized in circuit QED experiment. There is another method to obtain the effective interaction with imaginary hopping amplitude by dynamically modulating the frequency of qubit [47]. Based on these modulating methods, our scheme for generation of multiqubit GHZ state can be applied to other system. In this paper, we employ superconducting qubit to demonstrate the experimental feasibility of our scheme. Our results of fidelity analysis show the scheme is realizable with the existing experimental conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

W.F. would like to acknowledge support from the China Scholarship Council (Grant No. 201504890006). D-W.W. and H.C. would like to acknowledge support from the Office of Naval Research (Award No. N00014-16-1-3054) and Robert A. Welch Foundation (Grant No. A-1261).

[1] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky, and N. Rosen, Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete?, Phys. Rev. **47**, 777 (1935).
[2] John S. Bell, On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox, Physics **1**, 195 (1964).
[3] J. W. Pan, D. Bouwmeester, M. Daniell, H. Weinfurter, A. Zeilinger, Nature (London) **403**, 515 (2000).
[4] J. Handsteiner et al., Cosmic Bell Test: Measurement Settings from Milky Way Stars, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 060401 (2017).
[5] M. A. Nielsen, I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
[6] I. M. Georgescu, S. Ashhab, and Franco Nori, Quantum simulation, Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 153 (2014).
[7] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, Quantum-Enhanced Measurements: Beating the Standard Quantum Limit, Science **306**, 1330 (2004).
[8] D. M. Greenberger, M. A. Horne, A. Shimony, and A. Zeilinger, Bell's theorem without inequalities, Am. J. Phys. **58**, 1131 (1990).
[9] M. Hillery, V. Buzek, and A. Berthiaume, Phys. Rev. A **59**, 1829 (1999).
[10] A. Zeilinger, M. A. Horne, H. Weinfurter, and M. Żukowski, Three-Particle Entanglements from Two Entangled Pairs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3031 (1997).
[11] D. Bouwmeester, Jian-Wei Pan, M. Daniell, H. Weinfurter, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1345 (1999).
[12] L. K. Chen, Z. D. Li, X. C. Yao, M. Huang, W. Li, H. Lu, X. Yuan, Y. B. Zhang, X. Jiang, C. Z. Peng, L. Li, N. L. Liu, X. Ma, C. Y. Lu, Y. A. Chen, and J. W. Pan, Optica **4**, 77 (2017).
[13] S. B. Zheng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 230404 (2001).
[14] K. Mølmer and A. Sørensen, Multiparticle Entanglement of Hot Trapped Ions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1835 (1999).
[15] T. Monz, P. Schindler, J. T. Barreiro, M. Chwalla, D. Nigg, W. A. Coish, M. Harlander, W. Hansel, M. Hennrich, and R. Blatt, 14-Qubit Entanglement: Creation and Coherence, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 130506 (2011).
[16] K. Helmerson and L. You, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 170402 (2001).
[17] L. You, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 030402 (2003).
[18] P. Neumann, N. Mizuochi, F. Rempp, P. Hemmer, H. Watanabe, S. Yamasaki, V. Jacques, T. Gaebel, F. Jelezko, J. Wrachtrup, Science. **320**, 1326 (2008).
[19] X. W. Xu, Y. J. Zhao, and Y. X. Liu, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 022325 (2013).
[20] J. Q. You and F. Nori, Nature (London) **474**, 589 (2011).
[21] Y. Makhlin, G. Schon, and A. Shnirman, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 357 (2001).
[22] J. Clarke and F. K.Wilhelm, Nature (London) **453**, 1031 (2008).
[23] J. E. Mooij, T. P. Orlando, L. Levitov, L. Tian, C. H. van der Wal, and S. Lloyd, Science **285**, 1036 (1999).
[24] W. Feng, Y. Li, and S. Y. Zhu, Phys. Rev. A, **89**, 013816 (2014).
[25] M. Hofheinz et al., Nature (London) **459**, 546 (2009).
[26] Y. Chen, C. Neill, P. Roushan, N. Leung, M. Fang, R. Barends, J. Kelly, B. Campbell, Z. Chen, B. Chiaro, A. Dunsworth, E. Jeffrey, A. Megrant, J. Y. Mutus, P. J. J. O'Malley, C. M. Quintana, D. Sank, A. Vainsencher, J. Wenner, T. C. White, M. R. Geller, A. N. Cleland, and J. M. Martinis, Qubit Architecture with High Coherence

and Fast Tunable Coupling, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 220502 (2014).
[27] S. Schmidt and J. Koch, Circuit QED Lattices: Towards Quantum Simulation with Superconducting Circuits, Ann. Phys. (Amsterdam) **525**, 395 (2013).
[28] Y. D. Wang, S. Chesi, D. Loss, and C. Bruder, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 104524 (2010).
[29] S. Aldana, Y. D. Wang, and C. Bruder, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 134519 (2011).
[30] L. F. Wei, Y. X. Liu, and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 246803 (2006).
[31] F. Helmer and F. Marquardt, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 052328 (2009).
[32] A. Galiautdinov, M. W. Coffey, and R. Deiotte, Phys.Rev.A **80**, 062302 (2009).
[33] W. Feng, P. Wang, X. Ding, L. Xu, and X. Q. Li, Phys.Rev.A **83**, 042313 (2011).
[34] D. I. Tsomokos, S. Ashhab, and F. Nori, New J. Phys. **10**, 113020 (2008).
[35] L. S. Bishop, L. Tornberg, D. Price, E. Ginossar, A. Nunnenkamp, A. A. Houck, J. M. Gambetta, J. Koch, G. Johansson, S. M. Girvin, New J. Phys. **11**, 073040 (2009).
[36] L. DiCarlo, M. D. Reed, L. Sun, B. R. Johnson, J. M. Chow, J. M. Gambetta, L. Frunzio, S. M. Girvin, M. H. Devoret, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Nature (London) **467**, 574 (2010).
[37] M. Neeley, R. C. Bialczak, M. Lenander, E. Lucero, M. Mariantoni, A. D. O'Connell, D. Sank, H. Wang, M. Weides, J. Wenner, Y. Yin, T. Yamamoto, A. N. Cleland, and J. M. Martinis, Nature (London) **467**, 570 (2010).
[38] R. Barends, J. Kelly, A. Megrant, A. Veitia, D. Sank, E. Jeffrey, T. C. White, J. Mutus, A. G. Fowler, B. Campbell, Y. Chen, Z. Chen, B. Chiaro, A. Dunsworth, C. Neill, P. O'Malley, P. Roushan, A. Vainsencher, J. Wenner, A. N. Korotkov, A. N. Cleland, and John M. Martinis, Nature **508**, 500 (2014).
[39] P. Roushan, et al., Chiral ground-state currents of interacting photons in a synthetic magnetic field, Nature Physics, **13**, 146 (2017).
[40] M. Steffen, M. Ansmann, R. C. Bialczak, N. Katz, E. Lucero, R. McDermott, M. Neeley, E. M. Weig, A. N. Cleland, and J. M. Martinis, Science **313**, 1423 (2006).
[41] J. H. Plantenberg, P. C. de Groot, C. J. P. M. Harmans, and J. E. Mooij, Nature (London) **447**, 836 (2007).
[42] L. DiCarlo, J. M. Chow, J. M. Gambetta, L. S. Bishop, B. R. Johnson, D. I. Schuster, J. Majer, A. Blais, L. Frunzio, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Nature (London) **460**, 240 (2009).
[43] M. Ansmann, H. Wang, R. C. Bialczak, M. Hofheinz, E. Lucero, M. Neeley, A. D. O'Connell, D. Sank, M. Weides, J. Wenner, A. N. Cleland, and J. M. Martinis, Nature (London) **461**, 504 (2009).
[44] R. Barends, J. Kelly, A. Megrant, D. Sank, E. Jeffrey, Y. Chen, Y. Yin, B. Chiaro, J. Mutus, C. Neill, P. O'Malley, P. Roushan, J. Wenner, T. C. White, A. N. Cleland, and J. M. Martinis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 080502 (2013).
[45] Chad Rigetti, Jay M. Gambetta, Stefano Poletto, B. L. T. Plourde, Jerry M. Chow, A. D. Córcoles, John A. Smolin, Seth T. Merkel, J. R. Rozen, George A. Keefe, Mary B. Rothwell, Mark B. Ketchen, and M. Steffen, Phys. Rev. B 86, 100506(R) (2012).
[46] Hanhee Paik, D. I. Schuster, Lev S. Bishop, G. Kirchmair, G. Catelani, A. P. Sears, B. R. Johnson, M. J. Reagor, L. Frunzio, L. I. Glazman, S. M. Girvin, M. H. Devoret, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 240501 (2011).
[47] D. W. Wang, H. Cai, R. B. Liu, and M. O. Scully, Mesoscopic Superposition States Generated by Synthetic Spin-Orbit Interaction in Fock-State Lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 220502 (2016).